

Appl. No. 10/785,480
In re EDMONDS, I.
Reply to Office Action of Jul. 12, 2007

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner is thanked for the Official Action dated July 12, 2007. This amendment and response to written restriction is intended to be fully responsive thereto.

In response to the written restriction of July 12, 2007, applicant hereby elects Group I, claims 1-4, drawn to a method for producing a multifaceted, self-supporting, angle-selective light redirecting system. Please note that applicant reserves the right to file a divisional application directed to the non-elected Group II, claims 5-8, of the instant invention at any time during the pendency of the present application.

Applicant also elects species II wherein the multifaceted, three dimensional, self-supporting, angle-selective lighting system has a pyramidal configuration (shown in Figure 2).


Moreover, applicant has amended claim 4, originally drawn to a method for producing a conical light redirecting system, to claim a method for producing a three-dimensional light redirecting system. No new matter has been added. Therefore, claims 1-4 are generic to the elected species II. New claims 9-14 have been added directed to the embodiment of Figures 6-9.

Appl. No. 10/785,480
In re EDMONDS, I.
Reply to Office Action of Jul. 12, 2007

It is submitted that the present application is now in condition for examination on its merits, and action to that fact is earnestly solicited. Should the Examiner believe further discussion regarding the above claims would expedite prosecution, he is invited to contact the undersigned at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted:
Berenato, White & Stavish

By:


George Ayvazov
Reg. N° 37,483

6550 Rock Spring Drive, Suite 240
Bethesda, Maryland 20817
Tel. (301) 896-0600
Fax (301) 896-0607